

THE EFFECT OF COVER PLANTS ON THE YIELD AND CONTENT OF SELECTED COMPONENTS OF CABBAGE VARIETES

Jolanta Franczuk, Anna Zaniewicz-Bajkowska, Edyta Kosterna,
Robert Rosa, Iwona Pniewska, Wiesław Olszewski

University of Podlasie, Siedlce

Abstract. Very important element of proecological vegetables cultivation it is cover plants applied. They have favourable influence on the soil environment, indicated the possibility of limiting mineral fertilizer use and also reduce an application of herbicides, allow to keep soil fertility and in this same achieve high and good quality of yield. An experiment was carried out in 2002–2005 at the Experimental Farm in Zawady belonging to University of Podlasie. The objective of the study was the effect of cover plants (phacelia, spring vetch, serradella and oat) ploughed down in the autumn, spring or retained on the soil surface as a cover crop on the yield of red and savoy cabbage, and dry matter and vitamin C contents in white and savoy cabbage was investigated. The effects of covers were compared to the uncovered control. White cabbage cv. 'Masada F₁', red cabbage cv. 'Koda' and savoy cabbage cv. 'Wirosa F₁' were cultivated. Irrespective of the date of ploughing down of cover plants, serradella was the best plant cover preceding red cabbage, and phacelia was most beneficial when preceded savoy cabbage. Oat cover ploughed down in the autumn favoured dry matter accumulation, when spring-incorporated, stimulated vitamin C in white cabbage. The autumn-incorporated phacelia cover resulted in the greatest dry matter and vitamin C contents in savoy cabbage.

Key words: date of ploughing down the cover plant, yield, nutritive value, head cabbage

INTRODUCTION

Catch crops applied to the soil in the form of covers are a significant element of proecological cultivation of vegetables. Its aim is to limit an application of mineral fertilizers and plant protection chemicals as well as preserve permanent soil fertility while maintaining satisfactory yields of high and good quality [Starck 1998].

Corresponding author – Adres do korespondencji: Jolanta Franczuk, Anna Zaniewicz-Bajkowska, Edyta Kosterna, Robert Rosa, Iwona Pniewska, Wiesław Olszewski, Department of Vegetable Crops, University of Podlasie, Prusa 14 St., 08-140 Siedlce, e-mail: warzywa@ap.siedlce.pl

The influence of cover plants is reflected in many aspects which include: improved physico-chemical properties of the soil environment, increased biological activity of the soil, it also affects yields [Abdul-Baki et al. 1996, Jabłońska-Ceglarek et al. 2002, Gras-sbaugh et al. 2004, Kołota and Adamczewska-Sowińska 2004, Cherr et al. 2006] and makes it possible to introduce production simplifications [Songin 1989, Mazur 1999]. There are much less studies on an impact of plants retained in the field as covers on the crop biological value.

The objective of this study was to determine the effect of catch crop plants applied to the soil as covers on the yields and contents of selected nutrients in white, red and Savoy cabbage.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out in 2002–2005 under the conditions of central-eastern Poland on grey brown podzolic soil. Before the experimental set-up, soil pH determined in H₂O was 5.73. The total phosphorus content in soil was 67 mg kg⁻¹ air dry matter (adm), potassium 108 mg kg⁻¹ a.d.m., magnesium 39 mg kg⁻¹ a.d.m., N-NO₃ 10 mg kg⁻¹ a.d.m., N-NH₄ mg kg⁻¹ a.d.m., and calcium 380 mg kg⁻¹ a.d.m. It was set up as a split-block design in three replications. The effect of cover incorporation date: autumn-, spring-incorporated or retained as a cover crop without incorporation till the end of cabbage growth, was investigated. The following plants were selected as covers: phacelia, spring vetch, serratula and oat grown as catch crops. The effects of covers were compared to an uncovered control.

The seeds of plants cover were sown in the 1st decade of August in the years 2002–2004. The seeding rate for cover plants amounted to 15 kg ha⁻¹ for phacelia, 140 kg ha⁻¹ for spring vetch, 60 kg ha⁻¹ for serratula and 240 kg ha⁻¹ for oat. Autumn-incorporated plants cover were ploughed down in late October. Prior to incorporation, plant material samples were collected to determine the yield of fresh and dry matter of covers as well as nitrogen and mineral compound contents. The quantity of fresh and dry matter and macroelements (N, P, K, Ca, Mg) supplied to the soil with the investigated organic manures differed significantly. Among the cover plants the highest fertility value determined the quantity of NPK compounds entered to the soil was characterised biomass of oat, much less serratula [Jabłońska-Ceglarek et al. 2006, Franczuk et al. 2009].

Spring-incorporated covers were worked in mid-May. In the plots where covers were retained, disking was performed in the spring.

In the years 2003-2005, the following species of head cabbage were planted: white cabbage cv. 'Masada F₁', red cabbage cv. 'Koda', and savoy cabbage cv. 'Wirosa F₁'. The cabbages were planted in the first half of June, at the 50 cm × 50 cm row sparing. The mineral fertilizers applied included ammonium nitrate, triple superphosphate, and 60% potassium chloride. A uniform dose of 97 kg N, 90 kg P₂O₅, 146 kg K₂O was applied to all plots before cabbage cultivation. The remaining 60 kg N was top dressed, when cabbage plants started contact by leaves. Chemical protection against diseases and pests was applied according to current Programme of Vegetables Protection. The cabbage harvest was performed manual in the 3rd decade of October. The plot area for

harvest amounted to 16 m². During harvest total and marketable yields of cabbage were determined and plant samples for chemical analysis were collected to determine dry matter content by the drying and weighting method and vitamin C content by the Pijanowski method. The total yield of cabbage determined all hades without outer leaves. The marketable yield defined according to PN-72/R-75362 norm. Yielding of white cabbage cv. 'Masada F₁' was showed in the study by Franczuk and Jabłońska-Ceglarek [1998] and Jabłońska-Ceglarek et al. [2006]. The changes of dry matter and vitamin C content in red cabbage cultivated after cover plants applied was showed in the study by Franczuk et al. [2009].

The results of the experiment were analysed statistically by means of the analysis of variance. The significance of differences was verified using Tukey test at the significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield of cabbage. The average total yields of red cabbage cv. 'Koda' and savoy cabbage cv. 'Wirosa F₁' amounted to, respectively, 45.76 t·ha⁻¹ and 42.44 t·ha⁻¹. The marketable yield averaged, 41.29 t·ha⁻¹ and 39.51 t·ha⁻¹, respectively (tab. 1).

Irrespective of the date of incorporation, all investigated species of catch crop covers significantly increased cabbage yields as compared to the cultivation without mulch application. The effects depended on the date of incorporation. A beneficial influence of catch crops on white cabbage yields had been confirmed in earlier studies by Franczuk and Jabłońska-Ceglarek [1998] and Jabłońska-Ceglarek and Franczuk [2002]. Also Abdul-Baki et al. [1996], Hansen and Djurhuus [1997] as well as Kęsik et al. [2000] pointed out to the beneficial influence of cover crops on vegetable yields.

Irrespective of the incorporation date, serratella was the best covers preceding red cabbage and phacelia was the best for savoy cabbage cultivation.

Autumn-incorporated and non-incorporated vetch was similar to well with serratella in terms of red cabbage yield. Autumn-incorporated phacelia and oat significantly reduced red cabbage yields compared with serratella. At the spring date of ploughing down, the remaining kinds of catch crops significantly decreased red cabbage yields as compared to serratella.

The total yield of savoy cabbage following phacelia was significantly higher compared with the remaining kinds of covers, irrespective of the date of their incorporation. Ploughed down in the autumn, oat and vetch covers favoured marketable yields which, however, did not differ significantly from the yields recorded after phacelia. Savoy cabbage after vetch, serratella and oat, either ploughed down in the spring or left on the soil surface produced significantly lower marketable yields as compared to phacelia.

Valuable cover plant was serratella after which was achieved high yield of white and red cabbage. Winiarska and Kołota [2004], estimated the yielding effect of leaving mulches in the leek cultivation was found that serratella despite of low biomass yield and entered mineral compounds gave batter yielding effect compared with winter rape. Sadowski [1992] and Wadas [1997] reported high productivity of phacelia catch crop in the cultivation of vegetables. Earlier studies by Franczuk [2006] and Wadas [1998]

Table 1. Yield of head cabbage varieties
Tabela 1. Plonowanie odmian kapusty głowistej

Cultivar Odmiana	Kind of plants cover Rodzaj okrywy roślinnej	Date of ploughing down of mulch – Termin przyorania mulczu							
		A*	B*	C*	Mean Średnio	A*	B*	C*	Mean Średnio
Total yield – Płon ogółem, tha ⁻¹									
Red cabbage	control – kontrola	41.51	36.29	38.68	38.83	37.01	32.85	34.22	34.69
	phacelia – facelia	50.06	45.63	45.54	47.08	46.02	39.94	41.25	42.41
cv. 'Koda'	vetch – wyka	53.42	44.89	46.99	48.43	48.74	40.55	42.63	43.97
Kapusta głowiasta	serradella – seradela	54.02	49.14	48.69	50.62	49.53	44.32	43.90	45.92
czerwona 'Koda'	oat – owies	48.44	40.01	43.14	43.86	44.42	35.72	38.30	39.48
mean – średnio		49.49	43.19	44.61	45.76	45.14	38.68	40.06	41.29
$LSD_{0.05}$ for – $NIR_{0.05}$ dla:									
Date of ploughing down – Termin przyorania									
Kind of cover – Rodzaj okrywy									
Date of ploughing down × Kind of cover – Termin przyorania × Rodzaj okrywy									
control – kontrola									
Savoy cabbage	control – kontrola	33.03	37.05	33.69	34.59	30.14	33.98	31.48	31.87
cv. 'Wirosa F ₁ '	phacelia – facelia	48.62	49.65	47.74	48.67	45.57	46.53	44.84	45.65
Kapusta włoska	vetch – wyka	45.18	41.46	39.67	42.10	43.43	37.85	36.67	39.32
'Wirosa F ₁ '	serradella – seradela	43.84	40.15	42.13	42.04	41.30	36.73	39.19	39.07
oat – owies	48.58	42.66	43.20	44.81	45.85	39.19	39.91	41.65	
mean – średnio		43.85	42.19	41.29	42.44	41.26	38.85	38.42	39.51
$LSD_{0.05}$ for – $NIR_{0.05}$ dla:									
Date of ploughing down – Termin przyorania									
Kind of cover – Rodzaj okrywy									
Date of ploughing down × Kind of cover – Termin przyorania × Rodzaj okrywy									
A* – ploughing down in the autumn – przyorany jesienią,									
B* – ploughing down in the spring – przyorany wiosną,									
C* – leaving without ploughing down – pozostawiony bez przyorania									
1.53									
3.89									
2.57									

A* – ploughing down in the autumn – przyorany jesienią,

B* – ploughing down in the spring – przyorany wiosną,

C* – leaving without ploughing down – pozostawiony bez przyorania

1.88
3.67
3.50

Table 2. Nutritive value of head cabbage varieties
Tabela 2. Wartość odżywcza odmian kapusty głowistej

Cultivar Odmiana	Kind of plants cover Rodzaj okrywy roślinnej	Date of ploughing down of mulch – Termin przyorania mulcau							
		A*	B*	C*	Mean Średnio	A*	B*	C*	Mean Średnio
Dry matter – Sucha masa [%]									
control – kontrola	9.30	9.01	9.04	9.12	20.56	21.20	21.62	21.12	
phacelia – facelia	9.17	8.96	8.52	8.88	21.09	20.76	20.72	20.86	
vetch – wyka	8.65	8.48	8.97	8.70	20.96	20.98	21.50	21.15	
serradella – seradela	8.68	9.15	9.37	9.07	20.42	22.00	21.54	21.32	
oat – owies	9.59	9.33	8.33	9.25	21.81	22.41	21.88	22.03	
mean – średnio	9.08	8.99	8.95	9.00	20.97	21.47	21.45	21.30	
LSD _{0.05} for – NIR _{0.05} dla:									
Date of ploughing down – Termin przyorania					n.s.– n.i.				
Kind of cover – Rodzaj okrywy					0.24				
Date of ploughing down × Kind of cover – Termin przyorania × Rodzaj okrywy					0.58				
control – kontrola	12.74	14.16	13.12	13.34	28.69	29.64	29.32	29.22	
phacelia – facelia	14.77	13.62	14.42	14.27	32.10	28.84	31.66	30.87	
vetch – wyka	13.04	13.04	14.69	13.59	29.55	28.80	30.33	29.56	
serradella – seradela	13.72	13.53	14.56	13.94	30.54	29.78	30.32	30.21	
oat – owies	14.05	14.17	13.02	13.75	30.49	30.37	31.52	30.79	
mean – średnio	13.67	13.70	13.96	13.78	30.27	29.49	30.63	30.13	
LSD _{0.05} for – NIR _{0.05} dla:									
Date of ploughing down – Termin przyorania					n.s.– n.i.				
Kind of cover – Rodzaj okrywy					0.56				
Date of ploughing down × Kind of cover – Termin przyorania × Rodzaj okrywy					0.62				

A* – ploughing down in the autumn – przyorany jesienią,

B* – ploughing down in the spring – przyorany wiosną,

C* – leaving without ploughing down – pozostawiony bez przyorania.

Cultivar Odmiana	Kind of plants cover Rodzaj okrywy roślinnej	Date of ploughing down of mulch – Termin przyorania mulcau							
		A*	B*	C*	Mean Średnio	A*	B*	C*	Mean Średnio
Dry matter – Sucha masa [%]									
control – kontrola	9.30	9.01	9.04	9.12	20.56	21.20	21.62	21.12	
phacelia – facelia	9.17	8.96	8.52	8.88	21.09	20.76	20.72	20.86	
vetch – wyka	8.65	8.48	8.97	8.70	20.96	20.98	21.50	21.15	
serradella – seradela	8.68	9.15	9.37	9.07	20.42	22.00	21.54	21.32	
oat – owies	9.59	9.33	8.33	9.25	21.81	22.41	21.88	22.03	
mean – średnio	9.08	8.99	8.95	9.00	20.97	21.47	21.45	21.30	
LSD _{0.05} for – NIR _{0.05} dla:									
Date of ploughing down – Termin przyorania					n.s.– n.i.				
Kind of cover – Rodzaj okrywy					0.24				
Date of ploughing down × Kind of cover – Termin przyorania × Rodzaj okrywy					0.58				

Cultivar Odmiana	Kind of plants cover Rodzaj okrywy roślinnej	Date of ploughing down of mulch – Termin przyorania mulcau							
		A*	B*	C*	Mean Średnio	A*	B*	C*	Mean Średnio
Dry matter – Sucha masa [%]									
control – kontrola	9.30	9.01	9.04	9.12	20.56	21.20	21.62	21.12	
phacelia – facelia	9.17	8.96	8.52	8.88	21.09	20.76	20.72	20.86	
vetch – wyka	8.65	8.48	8.97	8.70	20.96	20.98	21.50	21.15	
serradella – seradela	8.68	9.15	9.37	9.07	20.42	22.00	21.54	21.32	
oat – owies	9.59	9.33	8.33	9.25	21.81	22.41	21.88	22.03	
mean – średnio	9.08	8.99	8.95	9.00	20.97	21.47	21.45	21.30	
LSD _{0.05} for – NIR _{0.05} dla:									
Date of ploughing down – Termin przyorania					n.s.– n.i.				
Kind of cover – Rodzaj okrywy					0.24				
Date of ploughing down × Kind of cover – Termin przyorania × Rodzaj okrywy					0.58				

A* – ploughing down in the autumn – przyorany jesienią,

B* – ploughing down in the spring – przyorany wiosną,

C* – leaving without ploughing down – pozostawiony bez przyorania.

A* – ploughing down in the autumn – przyorany jesienią,

B* – ploughing down in the spring – przyorany wiosną,

C* – leaving without ploughing down – pozostawiony bez przyorania.

stressed the positive effect, in terms of white cabbage yield, of respectively, spring-incorporated and autumn-incorporated vetch.

Dry matter and vitamin C contents. Average dry matter contents of white and savoy cabbages amounted to 9.00 and 13.78%, white the vitamin C contents 21.30 and 30.13 mg%, respectively (tab. 2).

Dry matter and vitamin C content in white and savoy cabbage significantly depended on the kind of cover and the date of ploughing down.

Dry matter accumulation in white cabbage was favoured by oat and serradella when the vegetable followed the autumn- or spring-incorporated cereal, and the non-incorporated serradella. Autumn-incorporated vetch and serradella, spring-incorporated vetch and phacelia and oat left without ploughing down significantly decreased dry matter content. Most vitamin C was in the white cabbage following oat, irrespective of the date of ploughing down. The remaining catch crops, when ploughed down in autumn, spring-incorporated vetch and phacelia and no covering applied at these dates significantly decreased vitamin C content in white cabbage as compared to oat cover. The most of vitamin C contained white cabbage cultivated after oat catch crops irrespective of the date of ploughing down plants cover.

Savoy cabbage cultivated after autumn-incorporated phacelia contained the significantly greatest quantities of examined components. Spring-incorporated oat favoured their accumulation, too. In the spring date similar to achieved after oat dry matter content was obtained in the savoy cabbage cultivated in the control object without covering and vitamin C after serradella catch crop and in control without covering. The cabbage cultivated after vetch left without ploughing down contained most dry matter and the plants grown after phacelia catch crop had most vitamin C. Leaving without ploughing down cover with oat significantly decrease dry matter content and with vetch and serradella vitamin C content. Significant decreases in the contents of these compounds were detected in savoy cabbage cultivated without covering.

The quality of yield which, according to Doruchowski [1997], is conditioned by the amounts of vitamins, minerals and protein contained in it, is a genetically-conditioned characteristic. However, the influence of cultural factors, e.g. soil covering, is important, too [Kolota and Adamczewska-Sowińska 2004, Olfati et al. 2008]. Jabłońska-Ceglarek et al. [1994a, 1994b] stressed the beneficial influence of autumn-incorporated catch crops on the nutritive value of white cabbage. Jabłońska-Ceglarek and Rosa [2003] applied spring-incorporated green manures ploughed down recorded increased vitamin C contents in red beet.

CONCLUSION

1. Irrespective of the date of ploughing down of cover plants, serradella was the best plant cover preceding red cabbage, and phacelia was most beneficial when preceded savoy cabbage.
2. Oat cover ploughed down in the autumn favoured dry matter accumulation, when spring-incorporated, stimulated vitamin C in white cabbage.

3. Savoy cabbage following autumn-incorporated phacelia had the highest dry matter and vitamin C contents.

REFERENCES

- Abdul-Baki, A.A., Teasdale, J.R., Korcak, R.F., Chitwood D.J., Huettel R.N., 1996. Fresh-market tomato production in a low-input alternative system using cover-crop mulch. *Hort. Sci.*, 31, 65–69.
- Cherr C.M., Scholberg, M.S.J., McSorley R., 2006. Green Manure Approaches to Crop Production: A Synthesis. *Agron. J.*, 98, 302–319.
- Doruchowski W., 1997. Kierunki hodowl warzyw do przetwórstwa. Produkcja warzyw do przetwórstwa. Mat. Konf., Skierkiewice 13–14 listopada 1997, 3–12.
- Franczuk J., 2006. Efekty stosowania nawozów zielonych w postaci międzyplonów ozimych oraz słomy żytniej w uprawie warzyw. Wyd. AP Siedlce, Rozpr. nauk., 84.
- Franczuk J., Jabłońska-Ceglarek R., 1998. Oddziaływanie międzyplonów ozimych i słomy na plonowanie kapusty głowiastej białej. *Annales UMCS, sec. EEE*, VI, 57–72.
- Franczuk J., Jabłońska-Ceglarek R., Zaniewicz-Bajkowska A., Kosterna E., Rosa R., 2009. The effect of plant mulches on the nutritive value of red cabbage and onion. *Veget. Crops Res. Bull.*, 70, 125–134.
- Grassbaugh E.M., Reniger E.E., Bennett M.A., 2004. Comparison of organic and inorganic mulches for heirloom tomato production. *Acta Hort.*, 638, 171–176.
- Hansen E. M., Djurhuus J., 1997. Nitrate leaching as influenced by soil tillage catch crop. *Soil Till. Res.*, 41, 203–219.
- Jabłońska-Ceglarek R., Zaniewicz A., Wadas W., 1994a. Sideral fertilizers applied in the form of summer catch crops in the cultivation of white cabbage. Part III. Effect of fertilization with catch crops and straw as compared to farmyard manure and only mineral fertilization on the nutritive value of white cabbage. *Sci. Pap. ATU Siedlce, Veget. Plants*, 41, 30–41.
- Jabłońska-Ceglarek R., Franczuk J., Zaniewicz A., Wadas W., 1994b. Sideral fertilizers applied in the form of winter catch crops in the cultivation of white cabbage. Part III. Effect of winter catch crops and rye straw as compared to farmyard manure and only mineral fertilization on the nutritive value and chemical composition of white cabbage. *Sci. Pap. ATU Siedlce Veget. Platnts*, 41, 100–107.
- Jabłońska-Ceglarek R., Franczuk J., 2002. Alternatywne formy nawożenia organicznego w uprawie kapusty głowiastej białej. *Acta Sci. Pol., Hortum Cultus*, 1(2), 45–54.
- Jabłońska-Ceglarek R., Franczuk J., Zaniewicz-Bajkowska A., Rosa R., 2002. The effect of summer catch crops on yielding and chosen elements of nutritions value of onion and red beet. *Folia Hort.*, 14/2, 11–23.
- Jabłońska-Ceglarek R., Rosa R., 2003. Influence of green manures on the quantity and quality of the yield of red beet. *Acta Sci. Pol., Hortorum Cultus*, 2(1), 21–30.
- Jabłońska-Ceglarek R., Franczuk J., Rosa R., Zaniewicz-Bajkowska A., Kosterna E., 2006. Wpływ sposobów muleczowania gleby i rodzaju mulca na plonowanie kapusty głowiastej 'Masada F₁'. *Acta Aprophisica*, 7(4), 885–894.
- Kęzik T., Błazewicz-Woźniak M., Konopiński M., 2000. Wpływ sposobu uprawy roli oraz stosowania roślin okrywowych na wielkość i jakość korzeni marchwi. *Zesz. Nauk. AR w Krakowie*, 364, 113–116.
- Kołota E., Adamczewska-Sowińska K., 2004. The effects of living mulches on yield, overwintering and biological value of leek. *Acta Hort.*, 638, 209–214.

- Mazur T., 1999. Rolnicze i ekologiczne znaczenie nawożenia organicznego i mineralnego. *Zesz. Probl. Post. Nauk Rol.*, 467, 151–157.
- Olfati J.A., Peyvast Gh., Nosrati-Rad Z., 2008. Organic mulching on carrot yield and quality. *Int. J. Veget. Sci.*, 14(4), 362–368.
- PN-72/R-75362. Warzywa świeże. Kapusta.
- Sadowski W., 1992. Porównanie efektywności obornika, słomy, nawozów zielonych i biohumusu w uprawie ziemniaka. *Mat. Konf. Nauk. „Produkcyjne skutki zmniejszania nakładów na agrotechnikę roślin uprawnych.” ART. Olsztyn*, 216–222.
- Songin W., 1989. Intensywne rolnictwo a ochrona środowiska. *Zesz. Probl. Post. Nauk Rol.*, 380, 121–131.
- Starck J.R., 1998. Ogrodnictwo zapewniające trwałą żywotność gleby. *Ogólnopol. Konf. Nauk. pt. Ekologiczne aspekty produkcji ogrodniczej. AR Poznań*, 17–18 listopad 1998, 77–84.
- Wadas W., 1997. Plonotwórcze działanie nawozów zielonych i słomy w uprawie warzyw. *Fragn. Agronom.*, 3(55), 61–70.
- Wadas W., 1998. Efekty produkcyjne stosowania różnych form nawożenia organicznego w uprawie warzyw. *Roczn. Nauk Rol.*, ser. A, 113(1–2), 201–211.
- Winiarska S., Kołota E., 2004. Przydatność wybranych gatunków roślin jako żywych ścieków w uprawie pora oraz ocena ich wartości nawozowej. *Roczn. AR w Poznaniu*, 356, 225–232.

WPŁYW ROŚLIN OKRYWOWYCH NA PLONOWANIE I ZAWARTOŚĆ WYBRANYCH SKŁADNIKÓW W ODMIANACH KAPUSTY GŁOWIASTEJ

Streszczenie. Ważnym elementem proekologicznej uprawy warzyw jest stosowanie międziplonowych roślin okrywowych. Oddziałują one korzystnie na środowisko glebowe, ograniczając zużycie nawozów mineralnych i chemicznych środków ochrony roślin, pozwalały na utrzymanie trwałej żywotności gleby, a jednocześnie uzyskanie wysokiej i dobrej jakości plonów. Badania przeprowadzono w latach 2002–2005 w RSD Zawady należącej do Akademii Podlaskiej. Przedmiotem badań był wpływ roślin okrywowych (facelia, wyka jara, seradela, owies) przyoranych jesienią, wiosną lub pozostawionych jako okrywa bez przyorania na plonowanie kapusty czerwonej i włoskiej oraz zawartość suchej masy i witaminy C w częściach użytkowych kapusty białej i włoskiej. Efekty ich stosowania porównano z kontrolą bez mulczowania. Uprawiano kapustę głowiastą białą ‘Masada F₁’, czerwoną ‘Koda’ i włoską ‘Wirosa F₁’. Najlepszym działaniem plonotwórczym, niezależnie od terminu przyorania, w uprawie kapusty czerwonej charakteryzowała się okrywa z seradeli, a w uprawie kapusty włoskiej z facelii. Biomasa owsa przyorana jesienią sprzyjała gromadzeniu suchej masy, a przyorana wiosną – witaminy C w kapuście białej. Okrywa z facelii przyorana jesienią wpłynęła na zwiększenie zawartości suchej masy i witaminy C w kapuście włoskiej.

Słowa kluczowe: termin przyorania roślin okrywowych, plon, wartość odżywcza, kapusta głowiasta

Accepted for print – Zaakceptowano do druku: 6.05.2010