

---

ANNALS  
UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA  
LUBLIN – POLONIA

VOL. XXVII (1)

SECTIO EE

2009

---

Department of Animal and Environmental Hygiene, University of Life Sciences in Lublin  
Akademicka 13, 20-950 Lublin  
e-mail: m\_jozwik@wp.pl

MILENA JÓZWIK, BEATA TRAWIŃSKA, MARTA KOWALECZKO

**Effect of galliform birds on microbial pollution  
of soil and water in a chosen agro-tourist farm**

---

Wpływ drobiu grzebiącego na zanieczyszczenie bakteriologiczne gleby i wody  
w wybranym gospodarstwie agroturystycznym na Lubelszczyźnie

**Summary.** The objective of the present study was to determine the effect of galliform birds on the microbial pollution of soil and water in a chosen agro-tourist farm, where the median samples of bird litter, soil and water from a pond were collected. The bacteriological qualitative and quantitative evaluation was performed of the samples in the laboratory in compliance with the obligatory norms. The analysis included coli titres, total count of mesophilic and psychrophilic bacteria, bacteria from coli group, proteolytic, actinomycetes, etc. Besides, the basic macro- and microclimatic parameters were established.

**Key words:** agro-tourist farm, sanitary-hygienic conditions, microbial pollution

INTRODUCTION

In Poland, the recent years have been marked with the growing interest in agro-tourist farm development, which is associated with two factors. One factor concerns people who run such farms and the other with increasing concern for the natural values of the farms. However, it should be born in mind, that providing agritourism services imposes some changes in the production structure, immediate vicinity of the house and in farm itself [Tabor 1998]. Agritourism activity may become a significant source of income in a farm [Dach *et al.* 2000, Maciejewska 2003]. Richness of animal species in the agritourist farms affects the sanitary-hygienic conditions of the area and implicates emission of harmful air pollutants or mineral accumulation in soil [Rachwał 2000]. Therefore, the farm holders are expected to maintain animals in good body condition to preserve appropriate environmental qualities of the region. It is well known that a good state of animal health reduces the incidence rate of human and animal diseases [Brodziak

1997, Sadowski 2000, Wasyl *et al.* 1999]. Animals are proven to play a key role in the spread of infections, in the case of poultry *Salmonella*-induced infections are most common [Mazanowski 1988, Świerczewska and Siennicka 2004, Wasyl *et al.*, 1999]. Animal body condition is directly related with the health of agri-tourist farm visitors. Importantly, a welfare level characterizing the animals maintained in the agri-tourist farm is far higher as compared to the conventional ones [Sammel and Dańczak 2002].

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The research material was constituted by the samples of litter and water from the nearby pond and soil, all collected from the agri-tourist farm situated in the Lublin Province. The litter was sampled from the building which housed various species of galliform birds (hens, guinea-hens, quails, turkeys, peacocks). In the close neighborhood to the poultry facility, there is the outdoor run for African ostriches. The median samples were taken in the immediate vicinity of the building entrance, in half length of the building and close to the wall furthest of the entrance (P1, P2, P3, respectively). Soil was sampled close to the external wall of the facility, then 5 and 10 m away (G1, G2, G3, respectively). Pond water samples were collected at pond bank, in  $\frac{1}{4}$  of its diameter length and in the pond centre (W1, W2, W3, respectively). The water reservoir harbored fen-ducks and various species of tortoises, being a shelter for migrating waterfowl as well. There were also performed the indoor and outdoor measurements of the macro- and microclimatic parameters (temperature, relative air humidity, air movement and cooling). Besides, the physical properties of pond water were examined (temperature, pH, color, flavor and turbidity). Litter and soil moisture was evaluated in the laboratory. The collected samples were examined for mesophilic and psychrophilic bacteria, bacteria from coli group, proteolytic, actinomycetes etc. The samples were delivered to the laboratory and evaluated bacteriologically in compliance with the obligatory norms [PN-ISO 9308-1, PN-Z-19000-1].

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of the bacteriological studies of the litter (Tab. 1) showed the highest count of mesophilic bacteria in the sample P1 ( $8.25 \times 10^7$ ), while the lowest in P3 ( $9.0 \times 10^5$ ). The highest numbers of psychrophilic bacteria was observed in the sample P1 ( $3.85 \times 10^8$ ) and the lowest in P3 ( $3.30 \times 10^6$ ). As for the litter, the presence of thermo-tolerant bacteria was detected in the sample P1, *Escherichia coli* in all the samples taken, while *Klebsiella* and *Shigella* bacteria in the sample P1 and P3. The highest proteolytic bacteria count was recorded in the sample P1 ( $9.0 \times 10^7$ ).

Assessment of the microbiological analysis results of soil (Tab. 2) revealed the highest numbers of mesophilic bacteria in the sample G1 ( $3.65 \times 10^6$ ), while the lowest in the sample G3 ( $7.50 \times 10^5$ ). The highest psychrophilic bacteria count was determined in the sample G3 ( $1.70 \times 10^5$ ). The lowest coli titre (0.001) was noted in the sample G1, the only sample that harbored coli bacteria group. *Klebsiella* bacteria were recorded in the sample G1 and G2. The highest count of proteolytic bacteria was detected in the sample G1 ( $2.10 \times 10^7$ ) and actinomycetes in G1 ( $4.0 \times 10^6$ ).

Table 1. Quantitative and qualitative bacteriological analysis of litter  
Tabela 1. Ilościowa i jakościowa analiza bakteriologiczna pomiotu

Bacteria genus Rodzaj bakterii	P1	P2	P3
Mesophilic Mezofilne	$8.25 \times 10^7$	$6.19 \times 10^7$	$9.0 \times 10^5$
Psychrophilic Psychrofilne	$3.85 \times 10^8$	$2.06 \times 10^7$	$3.30 \times 10^6$
From coli group Z grupy coli	0	0	0
Thermotolerant coli Coli termotolerancyjne	$2.20 \times 10^6$	0	0
Others Inne	<i>Escherichia coli</i> <i>Shigella</i> , <i>Klebsiella</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i> <i>Shigella</i> , <i>Klebsiella</i>
Proteolytic Proteolityczne	$9.0 \times 10^7$	$6.0 \times 10^6$	$1.0 \times 10^6$

P1 – litter collected at poultry facility entrance – pomiot pobrany przy wejściu do budynku

P2 – litter collected in facility half length – pomiot pobrany w połowie budynku

P3 – litter collected at the end of facility – pomiot pobrany na końcu budynku

Table 2. Quantitative and qualitative bacteriological analysis of soil  
Tabela 2. Ilościowa i jakościowa analiza bakteriologiczna gleby

Bacteria genus Rodzaj bakterii	G1	G2	G3
Mesophilic Mezofilne	$3.65 \times 10^6$	$2.20 \times 10^6$	$7.50 \times 10^5$
Psychrophilic Psychrofilne	$1.43 \times 10^6$	$1.30 \times 10^6$	$1.70 \times 10^5$
Coli titre Miano coli	0.001	> 0.01	> 0.01
From coli group Z grupy coli	$3.10 \times 10^5$	0	0
Thermotolerant coli Coli termotolerancyjne	0	0	0
Others Inne	<i>Escherichia coli</i> <i>Klebsiella</i>	<i>Klebsiella</i>	0
Proteolytic Proteolityczne	$2.10 \times 10^7$	$5.50 \times 10^6$	$1.0 \times 10^5$
Actinomycetes Promieniowce	$4.0 \times 10^6$	$4.0 \times 10^6$	$3.0 \times 10^5$

G1 – soil collected at poultry facility entrance – gleba pobrana przy ścianie budynku

G2 – soil collected 5 m of the facility – gleba pobrana 5 m od budynku

G3 – soil collected 10 m of the facility – gleba pobrana 10 m od budynku

Table 3. Quantitative and qualitative bacteriological analysis of pond water  
Tabela 3. Ilościowa i jakościowa analiza bakteriologiczna wody pochodzącej ze stawu

Bacteria genus Rodzaj bakterii	W1	W2	W3
Mesophilic Mezofilne	$3.05 \times 10^2$	$4.40 \times 10^2$	$4.0 \times 10^2$
Psychrophilic Psychrofilne	$7.0 \times 10^2$	$8.90 \times 10^3$	$3.50 \times 10^2$
From coli group Z grupy coli	$3.0 \times 10^1$	$3.0 \times 10^1$	$2.0 \times 10^1$
Thermotolerant coli Coli termotolerancyjne	$3.0 \times 10^1$	$4.0 \times 10^1$	$4.0 \times 10^1$
Others Inne	<i>Escherichia coli</i> <i>Salmonella</i> spp.	<i>Escherichia coli</i> <i>Salmonella</i> spp.	<i>Escherichia coli</i> <i>Salmonella</i> spp.
Proteolytic Proteolityczne	$1.9 \times 10^1$	$4.0 \times 10^1$	$9.0 \times 10^1$

W1 – water collected at the pond bank – woda pobrana przy brzegu stawu

W2 – water collected in ¼ pond length – woda pobrana w ¼ długości stawu

W3 – water collected in ½ pond length – woda pobrana w ½ długości stawu

Table 4. Evaluation of chosen physicochemical parameters of pond water and air humidity  
and temperature in the pond region

Tabela 4. Ocena wybranych parametrów fizykochemicznych wody ze stawu oraz wilgotności  
i temperatury powietrza w jego okolicach

Parameter Parametr	W1	W2	W3
Temperature Temperatura (°C)	21.00	20.00	20.00
pH	8.14	8.13	8.23
Color Barwa (TCU)	10	10	10
Turbidity Mętność (mg/l)	2.94	1.76	1.76
Air temperature Temperatura powietrza (°C)	25.30	26.20	25.20
Air humidity Wilgotność powietrza (%)	58.00	47.00	51.00

W1 – water collected at pond bank – woda pobrana przy brzegu stawu

W2 – water collected in 1/4 pond length – woda pobrana w ¼ długości stawu

W3 – water collected in ½ pond length – woda pobrana w ½ długości stawu

Wastes of farming animals present a serious threat to the biological balance in the environment and this fact has been supported by both, quantitative and qualitative bacteriological evaluation of the soil samples. Plants contaminated with animal waste become

a source of infection of animal and human [Kamińska *et al.* 1993]. Implementation of proper managemental and preventive measures may reduce the likelihood of microbiological infection incidence [Mazanowski 1993].

Bacteriological study of pond water (Tab. 3) showed the highest count of mesophilic and psychrophilic bacteria in the sample W2 ( $4.40 \times 10^2$ ,  $8.90 \times 10^3$ ). In all the water samples collected, the presence of bacteria from coli group and *Salmonella* spp. was detected. The highest numbers of proteolytic bacteria was observed in the sample W3 ( $9.0 \times 10^1$ ). The examination of the chosen parameters of pond water (Tab. 4) revealed that in all the samples collected, both pH and water temperature maintained at the similar level.

#### CONCLUSIONS

1. In the agro-tourist farm under study, galliform birds presented a source of bacteria contaminating litter and soil, however median count of bacteria decreased with increased distance from the poultry facility.

2. *Salmonella* bacteria occurrence in the analyzed pond water was likely to result from the presence of wild waterfowl.

#### REFERENCES

- Brodziak A., 1997. Choroby odzwierzęce w przypadku drobiu. *Pol. Drob.*, 8, 34.
- Dach A., Dach J., Zbytek Z., 2000. Warunki rozwoju turystyki w gospodarstwach ekologicznych. *Pr. Przem. Inst. Masz. Rol.*, 39–41.
- Kamińska A., Kluczek J.P., Szejniuk B., 1993. Ocena mikologiczna odchodów zwierzęcych w kontekście działania środków dezynfekcyjnych. *Pr. Kom. Nauk Rol. Biol., BTN, ser. B*, 40, 27–31.
- Maciejewska W., 2003. Dlaczego warto rozwijać agroturystykę? *Por. Gosp.*, 1, 24–25.
- Mazanowski A., 1988. Kaczki. *PWRiL., Warszawa*, 329–333.
- Mazanowski A., 1993. Zapobieganie chorobom drobiu czynnikiem ograniczającym skażenie mikrobiologiczne środowiska. *Pr. Kom. Nauk Rol. Biol., BTN, ser. B*, 40, 41–45.
- PN-ISO 9308-1. Jakość wody. Wykrywanie i oznaczanie ilościowe bakterii z grupy coli, bakterii grupy coli termotolerancyjnych i domniemanych *Escherichia coli*. Metoda filtrów membranowych.
- PN-Z-19000-1. Jakość gleby. Ocena stanu sanitarnego gleby. Wykrywanie bakterii z rodzaju *Salmonella*.
- Rachwał A., 2000. Odchody drobiowe a zdrowotność i produktywność ptaków oraz ochrona środowiska. *Pol. Drob.*, 7, 5–6.
- Sadowski A., 2000. Bakteryjne choroby odzwierzęce. *Pol. Drob.*, 12, 9–11.
- Sammel A., Dańczak A. 2002. Zwierzęta w gospodarstwach agroturystycznych województwa zachodniopomorskiego – stan obecny i perspektywy. *J. Res. Appl. Agricult. Eng.*, 47(1), 55–57.
- Świerczewska E., Siennicka A., 2004. Ściółka jako ważny element w utrzymaniu drobiu. *Pol. Drob.*, 7, 9–11.
- Tabor K., 1998. Działalność agroturystyczna i jej wpływ na zmiany w gospodarstwie. Potrzeby oświatowo-doradcze rolników w okresie przemian społeczno-gospodarczych. *Konf. Nauk., CDiEwR*, 175-183.
- Wasył D., Hoszowski A., Fafiński Z., 1999. Salmonellosis in parrots and emu. *Med. Wet.*, 55(10), 662–664.

**Streszczenie.** Celem pracy było określenie wpływu drobiu grzebiącego na zanieczyszczenie bakteriologiczne gleby i wody w wybranym gospodarstwie agroturystycznym. W gospodarstwie pobrano uśrednione próbki pomiotu ptasiego, gleby oraz wody ze stawu. W laboratorium dokonano bakteriologicznej analizy jakościowej i ilościowej powyższych próbek zgodnie z obowiązującymi normami. Analiza obejmowała badania miana coli, ogólnej liczby bakterii mezofilnych i psychrofilnych, bakterii z grupy coli, proteolitycznych, promieniowców i in. Dodatkowo określono podstawowe parametry charakteryzujące warunki makro- i mikroklimatyczne.

**Słowa kluczowe:** gospodarstwo agroturystyczne, warunki sanitarno-higieniczne, zanieczyszczenie bakteriologiczne